

# Procurement Workstream

## Summary and Future Plans

Sarah Sinclair – Chief Engineer, Auckland Council

# Procurement Workstream

Acknowledgement of Bruce Porter – Steering Group member  
and table convener at the event last year

# Procurement Workstream

- Summary of where we are so far
- Summary of what we, as Auckland Council, are doing
- Where next for the procurement workstream?
- Who should be involved?

# History of the group

- Feedback from industry – 11 themes
- Workshop on 3 areas where we could do something ‘now’
  - Evaluating suppliers
  - Sharing Data
  - Risk-based procurement
- Ideas of what could change sent round as a questionnaire

# Auckland Procurement – what can we change?

- Workshop showed continuous innovation is happening
- Also demonstrated industry engagement in shared change
- Questionnaire asked
  - What is your organisation doing now?
  - What is it interested in trying?
  - What would you like industry to work on together

# Auckland Procurement Workstream Questionnaire

- Prequalification
- Non price attributes
- Contents of tender documents
- Procurement process
- Evaluation Process
- Sharing Data
- Actions for the Procurement Workstream

# Auckland Council response

- Prequalification – interested in a stormwater pilot
- Non price attributes – we currently use standardised evaluation criteria. We are interested in developing more specific non price attributes. We would like to work with industry on how best to evaluate innovation, safety in design, whole of life value

# Auckland Council response

- Contents of tender documents – we are keen to include risk registers and some data on the business case in our tender documents. We are also keen to continue to improve our document quality and standardisation.
- Procurement process – we already use different timeframes for simple and complex projects, we use procurement facilitators, we have a procurement plan for each procurement.



# Auckland Council response

- Procurement process – We are keen to engage with tenderers on bid strategies for our larger projects, and to do post tender pre award interviews. We are developing a gateway process
- Evaluation Process – we already use real performance data. We are keen to do 360 feedback after tenders, and improve tender feedback

# Auckland Council response

- Sharing Data – we already share pipeline, our future plans fall into categories of:
  - Technical consistency
  - Sharing useful data, best practice, lessons learnt, results of pilots
  - Use of smart technology
  - Bundling work



# Role of workstream

All the workstreams have a finite life and exist to address an issue.

Some ideas for you to consider – for each one

- Is there a need?
- Would you support the outcomes?
- Would your organisation like to be involved?

# Role of workstream

- Annual good practice ideas event?
  - Guidance on evaluating innovation, safety in design, whole of life value, intangibles?
  - Guidance on developing specific non price attributes?
  - Evaluation rating system (eg NZTA)?
  - Guidance on assessing project performance?
- 
- Other?

# Consistency and Shared Data

- New title for workstream
- Combination of individual initiatives, each of finite life
- Update on current
- Discussion of future

# Geotechnical Database

Tim Munro, Watercare

# Other shared data initiatives

- Use of BIM – forum later this year
- Sharing ideas nationally – forum on Christchurch later this year
- Special interest groups/initiatives



# Short term special interest groups

- Consistent technical standards
  - Consistent data standards
  - Consistent specifications
  - Consistent approach to measurement of work
  - Consistent approaches to insurances and bonds
  - Specialist materials and products issues group
-

# Sign Up (added after discussion)

- Ideas list will be put on the website, with a brief idea of scope
- Industry groups and member orgs will need to decide how best to represent on the working groups
- Industry groups can also act as a conduit for consultation on outputs